**ALL LETTERS MUST BE UPLOADED INTO THE ELECTRONIC PORTAL**. The portal automatically sends letters to the author’s office and the committee(s) of jurisdiction. Please visit the [California Legislature Position Letter Portal](https://calegislation.lc.ca.gov/Advocates/faces/index.xhtml) to create an account and upload the letter. If you are having difficulty accessing the portal, please contact Meg Desmond at [Mdesmond@calcities.org](mailto:Mdesmond@calcities.org).

In addition to submitting the letter through the portal, please send a physical copy to your Legislator(s) and email a copy to [cityletters@calcities.org](mailto:cityletters@calcities.org) as well as your Regional Public Affairs Manager.

\*\*\*CITY LETTERHEAD\*\*\*

DATE

The Honorable Senator Anna Caballero

Chair, Senate Appropriations Committee

1021 O Street, Room 7620

Sacramento, CA 95814

**RE: AB 2561 (McKinnor) Local Public Employees: Vacant Positions.**

**Notice of OPPOSE** (As Amended 07/03/2024)

Dear Senator Caballero,

The City/Town of \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ must respectfully oppose SB 2561 (McKinnor). This measure requires cities with bargaining unit vacancy rates exceeding 15% for more than 180 days to meet with the representative of the recognized employee organization within 21 days about strategies to fill vacancies. The bill requires the public agency to present this plan during a public hearing to the governing legislative body and to publish the plan on its internet website for public review for at least one year.

The City/Town of \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ recognizes the impact that long-term vacancy rates have, both on current employees and our residents who receive local services. Many specialty positions like (Insert positions that are often a challenge to staff in your city here) are experiencing nationwide workforce shortages and a dwindling pipeline for new entrants, driven by both an expansion of services and an aging workforce. To further complicate recruitment, local governments are competing with both the private sector and other government agencies.

Cities are implementing innovative ways to try to boost recruitment and incentivize retention. (CITE HOW YOUR CITY HAS IMPLEMENTED EFFORTS TO BOOST RECRUITMENT/RETENTION).

In The City/Town of \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ is committed to continuing the work happening now between all levels of government and employees to expand pipeline programs, build pathways into public sector jobs, modernize the hiring process, and offer competitive compensation. However, we cannot close the workforce shortages overnight; it will take investment from educational institutions, all levels of government, and the private sector to meet the workforce demands across the country.

We must use our limited human resources staff to hire employees during this economically challenging time rather than diverting resources to additional reports.

(Insert the challenges of implementing the bill’s timelines, working with vague terms, and what the meet and confer requirements could mean for the city.)

It is important to note that the new meet and confer requirements are not merely procedural in nature to facilitate conversations on vacancy rates. The requirements could result in demands to reopen MOUs, and might even lead to arguments that this bill voids bargained-for no strike and “entire agreement” (i.e., “zipper”) clauses in existing MOUs, thereby exposing local agencies to impasse procedures, fact finding, and strikes during the MOU term. Certainly, there will be additional time and cost pressures for local agencies related to this requirement, potentially leading to adjudication before the Public Employment Relations Board (PERB).

Local bargaining units have the ability to address workforce concerns and develop hiring retention strategies at the barraging table within agreements and compensation studies. The City/Town of \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ welcomes partnering on workforce strategies and believes there is a more feasible solution than AB 2561. As currently drafted, AB 2561 is the wrong approach.

For those reasons The City/Town of \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ respectfully **opposes** AB 2561 (McKinnor).

Sincerely,

NAME

TITLE

CITY/TOWN of \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

cc: The Honorable Tina McKinnor

Members, Senate Appropriations Committee

(Your Senator and Assembly Member)

(Your Cal Cities Regional Public Affairs Manager, via email)

League of California Cities (via email: cityletters@calcities.org)